

The top of the page features a blue-tinted image of the American flag on the left and a bald eagle in flight on the right, set against a dark blue background.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

***Grant Programs Directorate
Grant Development and Administration Division***

ASSISTANCE TO FIREFIGHTERS GRANT PROGRAM

**Report on Fiscal Year 2006
Assistance to Firefighters Grants**



FEMA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

AFG PROGRAM SUMMARY	3
AFG APPLICATIONS FOR FY 2006	4
AFG AWARDS FOR FY 2006	10

AFG Program Summary

In Fiscal Year (FY) 2006 the Assistance to Firefighters Grant (AFG) Program provided “fire” grant applicants with the opportunity to develop grant applications that would request support for a broad range of needs and goals. Applicants could request support for a number of related eligible activities that addressed a variety of fire department and organizational needs and would need to be completed within the 1-year grant period. Each of the program areas and activities eligible for funding in FY 2005 were available again for FY 2006 funding. Both fire departments and nonaffiliated emergency medical services (EMS) organizations were eligible to request assistance in only one of the two program areas listed below.

1. **Operations and Safety Program.** Eligible program activities available for fire departments were limited to training, equipment, personal protective equipment (PPE), wellness and fitness programs, and modifications to fire stations and facilities. Eligible EMS organizations could apply for training and equipment under the appropriate activities. Funds to purchase equipment, PPE, and training needed to prepare for and respond to chemical, biological, radiological, nuclear, or high-yield explosive (CBRNE) incidents also were available. Applicants were encouraged to consider national standards on interoperability when preparing their proposals.
2. **Vehicles Acquisition Program.** Examples of the eligible vehicles and apparatus included but were not limited to a single pumper, brush truck, tanker, rescue vehicle, ambulance, quint, aerial, foam unit, and fire boat per application. Previous AFG vehicle grant recipients were not eligible to receive a vehicle grant in FY 2006.

Regional Projects. The parameters of the FY 2006 AFG Program did not change significantly from the previous year except for a change regarding regional projects. Regional projects require one eligible applicant to act as the “host” for the project. With regional projects, a fire department, or a nonaffiliated EMS organization, serves as the “host applicant” and applies for a large-scale or regional project grant on behalf of itself and any number of fire departments/organizations in neighboring jurisdictions.

In FY 2006, host applicants were not permitted to apply for funding to meet needs beyond the scope of the regional project. This was a change from the FY 2005 program. The host applicant was also responsible for fulfilling all grant requirements, such as reporting to the National Fire Incident Reporting System, control of and accounting for the funds, and distribution and control of the property.

Tutorial for Grant Applicants. An applicant tutorial was developed and made available through the AFG Program Website at www.firegrantsupport.com. The tutorial explained the AFG Program, helped applicants use the online grant application, provided tips for navigating the application screens, and summarized the changes in the grant program for FY 2006.

Other AFG Programs. The Fire Prevention and Safety (FP&S) Grant program and the Staffing for Adequate Fire and Emergency Response (SAFER) Program were segregated from the AFG Program, with each holding separate grant application periods.

The authority of the AFG Program is derived from the Federal Fire Protection and Control Act of 1974 (15 U.S.C. §§ 2229 et seq.), as amended. In fiscal year 2006, Congress reauthorized the AFG and appropriated a total of \$648,450,000 to the National Preparedness Directorate of DHS to carry out the activities of the AFG Program. Congress also authorized the use of up to 5 percent of the appropriated funds for administering the grant program. The appropriated funds were available until September 30, 2007.

The authorizing legislation for the AFG also includes several other requirements that the program must fulfill: (1) a minimum of 5 percent of the appropriated funds must be used for fire prevention grants to fire departments, or for fire prevention and safety awards to organizations recognized for their experience and expertise in carrying out such activities; (2) no more than 25 percent of appropriated funds may be awarded for the purchase of firefighting vehicles; (3) the program must award to volunteer and combination departments a percentage of the available funds equal to the percentage of the U.S. population that they protect; and (4) there must be a balance in the awards to rural, suburban and urban jurisdictions. The governing statute directs that grants be made to a variety of fire departments types and other organizations, (i.e., departments with all paid/career, volunteer, and combination/paid-on-call staff).¹

AFG Applications for FY 2006

During the FY 2006 grant application period, 18,171 applications were submitted. The total amount of Federal funding requested exceeded \$2.2 billion. The application data and funding requests are presented in the tables below by program area, Federal grant amount requested, population size, type of fire department/EMS organization, and by service area (urban, suburban, or rural).

- **Operations and Firefighter Safety** represented 72 percent (13,182) of all submitted applications for Federal grants for a total of \$1,207,566,331. The average Federal share requested was \$91,607.
- **Vehicle Acquisition** represented 28% (4,989) of all submitted applications, totaling \$1,076,229,305. The average Federal share awarded was \$215,720.

Tables 1 and 2 and Figures 1.1 thru 2.2 show a breakdown of all applications received by program area and by the Federal share requested per submitted application.


¹ Paid-on-call members receive a small stipend/compensation for each event to which they respond. They represent a statistically small percentage of AFG applicants.

Applications Submitted by Program Area

Table 1

Legend	Program Name	Total Applications Submitted	% Total	Total Federal Share Requested	% Total	Average Federal Share Requested
	Operations and Safety	13,182	72.5%	\$1,207,566,331	52.9%	\$91,607
	Vehicle Acquisition	4,989	27.5%	\$1,076,229,305	47.1%	\$215,720
TOTAL		18,171	100.0%	\$2,283,795,636	100.0%	\$125,684


Charts/Graphs



Federal Share Requested per Submittal

Table 2

Legend	Request Per Submittal	Operations and Safety	Vehicle Acquisition	Total
A	Less than \$50,000	5,142	119	5,261
B	Between \$50,000 and \$99,999	4,574	642	5,216
C	Between \$100,000 and \$299,999	2,991	3,467	6,458
D	Between \$300,000 and \$499,999	287	488	775
E	Between \$500,000 and \$749,999	91	220	311
F	Between \$750,000 and \$999,999	64	50	114
G	\$1,000,000 or more	33	3	36
TOTAL		13,182	4,989	18,171



The AFG Program Office used a series of application questions to better identify the demographic characteristics of the area protected by the applicants. Answers to questions pertaining to population density, number of hydrants, typical land use, etc., would designate an applicant as an urban, suburban, or rural fire department. Some program area activities have different funding priorities based on their demographic type.


Tables 3 and 4 and Figures 3.1 through 4.5 show a comprehensive breakdown of applications received by service area and by amount of Federal share requested per application.

Applications Submitted by Service Area

Table 3

Legend	Service Area	Total Applications Submitted	% Total	Total Federal Share Requested	% Total	Average Federal Share Requested
	N/A	31	0.2%	\$2,428,978	0.1%	\$78,354
	Rural	14,113	77.7%	\$1,617,564,647	70.8%	\$114,615
	Suburban	2,976	16.4%	\$461,242,088	20.2%	\$154,987
	Urban	1,051	5.8%	\$202,559,923	8.9%	\$192,731
TOTAL		18,171	100.0%	\$2,283,795,636	100.0%	\$125,684


Charts/Graphs



Federal Share Requested per Submittal

Table 4

Legend	Request Per Submittal	N/A	Rural	Suburban	Urban	Total
A	Less than \$50,000	11	4,254	755	241	5,261
B	Between \$50,000 and \$99,999	12	4,085	879	240	5,216
C	Between \$100,000 and \$299,999	8	5,126	944	380	6,458
D	Between \$300,000 and \$499,999		458	210	107	775
E	Between \$500,000 and \$749,999		132	129	50	311
F	Between \$750,000 and \$999,999		46	51	17	114
G	\$1,000,000 or more		12	8	16	36
TOTAL		31	14,113	2,976	1,051	18,171




As depicted in Tables 5 and 6 and figures 5.1 through 6.4, the following is a comprehensive breakdown of applications received by department type, and Federal share requested per submitted application.

Applications Submitted by Department Type

Table 5

Legend	Department Type	Total Applications Submitted	% Total	Total Federal Share Requested	% Total	Average Federal Share Requested
	All Paid/Career	1,903	10.5%	\$334,639,376	14.7%	\$175,848
	All volunteer	11,159	61.4%	\$1,247,572,920	54.6%	\$111,800
	Combination	3,815	21.0%	\$547,123,428	24.0%	\$143,414
	Paid On-Call/Stipend	1,294	7.1%	\$154,459,912	6.8%	\$119,366
TOTAL		18,171	100.0%	\$2,283,795,636	100.0%	\$125,684


Charts/Graphs



Federal Share Requested per Submittal

Table 6

Legend	Request Per Submittal	All Paid/Career	All volunteer	Combination	Paid On-Call/Stipend	Total
A	Less than \$50,000	421	3,446	981	413	5,261
B	Between \$50,000 and \$99,999	530	3,203	1,138	345	5,216
C	Between \$100,000 and \$299,999	639	4,084	1,282	453	6,458
D	Between \$300,000 and \$499,999	175	305	241	54	775
E	Between \$500,000 and \$749,999	84	87	118	22	311
F	Between \$750,000 and \$999,999	39	27	42	6	114
G	\$1,000,000 or more	15	7	13	1	36
TOTAL		1,903	11,159	3,815	1,294	18,171




Tables 7 and 8 and Figures 7.1 through 8.4 show a comprehensive breakdown of FY 2006 applications received by applicant type and by Federal share requested per application.

Applications Submitted by Applicant Type

Table 7

Legend	Applicant Type	Total Applications Submitted	% Total	Total Federal Share Requested	% Total	Average Federal Share Requested
	Fire Department/Fire District	16,663	91.7%	\$2,090,630,590	91.5%	\$125,465
	Non-Affiliated EMS Organization	1,254	6.9%	\$93,159,942	4.1%	\$74,290
	Regional Request	254	1.4%	\$100,005,104	4.4%	\$393,721
TOTAL		18,171	100.0%	\$2,283,795,636	100.0%	\$125,684

Charts/Graphs



Federal Share Requested per Submittal

Table 8

Legend	Request Per Submittal	Fire Department/Fire District	Non-Affiliated EMS Organization	Regional Request	Total
A	Less than \$50,000	4,689	559	13	5,261
B	Between \$50,000 and \$99,999	4,793	389	34	5,216
C	Between \$100,000 and \$299,999	6,085	288	85	6,458
D	Between \$300,000 and \$499,999	721	12	42	775
E	Between \$500,000 and \$749,999	272	5	34	311
F	Between \$750,000 and \$999,999	79	1	34	114
G	\$1,000,000 or more	24		12	36
TOTAL		16,663	1,254	254	18,171




Table 9 depicts a comprehensive breakdown of applications by geographic location of applicants and by Federal share requested.

Table 9

State	APPLICATIONS		TOTAL FEDERAL SHARE		AVERAGE FEDERAL SHARE
	Submittals	%Total	Total Amount Requested	%Total	Average Amount Requested
Alabama	595	3.3%	\$68,711,656	3.0%	\$115,482
Alaska	54	0.3%	\$9,609,965	0.4%	\$177,962
Arizona	135	0.7%	\$22,116,445	1.0%	\$163,826
Arkansas	386	2.1%	\$40,759,188	1.8%	\$105,594
California	441	2.4%	\$71,564,636	3.1%	\$162,278
Colorado	142	0.8%	\$20,938,965	0.9%	\$147,458
Connecticut	202	1.1%	\$30,223,115	1.3%	\$149,619
Delaware	35	0.2%	\$6,078,132	0.3%	\$173,661
District of Columbia	2	0.0%	\$370,000	0.0%	\$185,000
Florida	259	1.4%	\$48,352,534	2.1%	\$186,689
Georgia	282	1.6%	\$41,979,160	1.8%	\$148,862
Guam	1	0.0%	\$359,500	0.0%	\$359,500
Hawaii	3	0.0%	\$579,144	0.0%	\$193,048
Idaho	125	0.7%	\$14,279,069	0.6%	\$114,233
Illinois	770	4.2%	\$90,788,666	4.0%	\$117,907
Indiana	444	2.4%	\$60,649,613	2.7%	\$136,598
Iowa	547	3.0%	\$54,433,197	2.4%	\$99,512
Kansas	262	1.4%	\$27,532,631	1.2%	\$105,086
Kentucky	509	2.8%	\$61,258,518	2.7%	\$120,351
Louisiana	217	1.2%	\$24,365,477	1.1%	\$112,283
Maine	236	1.3%	\$34,067,772	1.5%	\$144,355
Maryland	190	1.0%	\$33,857,044	1.5%	\$178,195
Massachusetts	292	1.6%	\$53,047,807	2.3%	\$181,671
Michigan	623	3.4%	\$71,506,677	3.1%	\$114,778
Minnesota	518	2.9%	\$56,446,263	2.5%	\$108,970
Mississippi	364	2.0%	\$45,095,218	2.0%	\$123,888
Missouri	468	2.6%	\$50,840,791	2.2%	\$108,634
Montana	188	1.0%	\$22,186,482	1.0%	\$118,013
Nebraska	215	1.2%	\$23,393,923	1.0%	\$108,809
Nevada	34	0.2%	\$5,549,541	0.2%	\$163,222
New Hampshire	144	0.8%	\$17,047,875	0.7%	\$118,388
New Jersey	555	3.1%	\$65,058,879	2.8%	\$117,223
New Mexico	109	0.6%	\$16,196,242	0.7%	\$148,589
New York	1,190	6.5%	\$138,454,862	6.1%	\$116,349
North Carolina	688	3.8%	\$85,656,157	3.8%	\$124,500
North Dakota	116	0.6%	\$11,249,532	0.5%	\$96,979
Northern Marianas	1	0.0%	\$214,900	0.0%	\$214,900
Ohio	825	4.5%	\$111,595,768	4.9%	\$135,268
Oklahoma	452	2.5%	\$45,943,633	2.0%	\$101,645
Oregon	193	1.1%	\$28,750,802	1.3%	\$148,968
Pennsylvania	1,739	9.6%	\$223,304,045	9.8%	\$128,409
Puerto Rico	91	0.5%	\$8,758,428	0.4%	\$96,246
Rhode Island	65	0.4%	\$8,324,029	0.4%	\$128,062
South Carolina	334	1.8%	\$42,381,996	1.9%	\$126,892
South Dakota	163	0.9%	\$14,940,758	0.7%	\$91,661
Tennessee	438	2.4%	\$46,694,928	2.0%	\$106,609
Texas	713	3.9%	\$98,209,372	4.3%	\$137,741
Utah	120	0.7%	\$11,145,787	0.5%	\$92,882
Vermont	124	0.7%	\$14,097,934	0.6%	\$113,693
Virginia	301	1.7%	\$46,332,264	2.0%	\$153,928
Washington	304	1.7%	\$48,608,094	2.1%	\$159,895
West Virginia	296	1.6%	\$40,759,805	1.8%	\$137,702
Wisconsin	622	3.4%	\$62,947,473	2.8%	\$101,202
Wyoming	49	0.3%	\$6,180,944	0.3%	\$126,142
TOTAL	18,171	100.0%	\$2,283,795,636	100.0%	\$125,684

AFG Awards for FY 2006

The criteria for award selection have not changed since the inception of the AFG Program in 2001. Applications that best addressed the Grant Program’s priorities (as published in the Program Guidance) were determined to be in the “competitive range” and sent to panel review. Reviewers consisted of panels of at least three technical evaluation specialists who were members of the fire service. These specialists further evaluated each application, scoring critical sections, such as the project narrative, financial need, and community benefits to be achieved from the grant. A total of 4,969 AFG applications were selected for awards in 2006. A list of all grant recipients is available at the AFG Website (www.firegrantsupport.com).


Tables 10 and 11 and Figures 10.1 through 11.2 depict the FY 2006 awards made by Program and by Federal share amount.

Awards by Program Area

Table 10

Legend	Program Name	Total Awards	% Total	Total Federal Share Awarded	% Total	Average Federal Share Awarded
	Operations and Safety	4,235	85.2%	\$354,652,722	72.6%	\$83,743
	Vehicle Acquisition	734	14.8%	\$133,930,904	27.4%	\$182,467
TOTAL		4,969	100.0%	\$488,583,626	100.0%	\$98,326

Charts/Graphs



Federal Share Awarded per Submittal

Table 11

Legend	Fed Share Awarded	Operations and Safety	Vehicle Acquisition	Total
A	Less than \$50,000	1,766	14	1,780
B	Between \$50,000 and \$99,999	1,483	89	1,572
C	Between \$100,000 and \$299,999	877	613	1,490
D	Between \$300,000 and \$499,999	63	10	73
E	Between \$500,000 and \$749,999	18	8	26
F	Between \$750,000 and \$999,999	23	23	23
G	\$1,000,000 or more	5	5	5
TOTAL		4,235	734	4,969

Figure 11.1





Figure 11.2




Tables 12 and 13 and Figures 12.1 through 13.5 depict the FY 2006 awards by service area and by Federal share amount.

Awards by Service Area

Table 12

Legend	Service Area	Total Awards	% Total	Total Federal Share Awarded	% Total	Average Federal Share Awarded
	N/A	7	0.1%	\$709,341	0.1%	\$101,334
	Rural	3,860	77.7%	\$361,233,383	73.9%	\$93,584
	Suburban	886	17.8%	\$89,143,670	18.2%	\$100,614
	Urban	216	4.3%	\$37,497,232	7.7%	\$173,598
TOTAL		4,969	100.0%	\$488,583,626	100.0%	\$98,326


Charts/Graphs



Federal Share Awarded per Submittal

Table 13

Legend	Fed Share Awarded	N/A	Rural	Suburban	Urban	Total
A	Less than \$50,000	2	1,407	310	61	1,780
B	Between \$50,000 and \$99,999	3	1,203	315	51	1,572
C	Between \$100,000 and \$299,999	2	1,189	225	74	1,490
D	Between \$300,000 and \$499,999		38	21	14	73
E	Between \$500,000 and \$749,999		11	7	8	26
F	Between \$750,000 and \$999,999		11	6	6	23
G	\$1,000,000 or more		1	2	2	5
TOTAL		7	3,860	886	216	4,969




Tables 14 and 15 and Figures 14.1 through 15.4 depict the FY 2006 awards distribution by department type and by Federal share amount.

Awards by Department Type

Table 14

Legend	Department Type	Total Awards	% Total	Total Federal Share Awarded	% Total	Average Federal Share Awarded
	All Paid/Career	475	9.6%	\$59,954,364	12.3%	\$126,220
	All volunteer	2,992	60.2%	\$273,654,657	56.0%	\$91,462
	Combination	1,157	23.3%	\$122,571,475	25.1%	\$105,939
	Paid On-Call/Stipend	345	6.9%	\$32,403,130	6.6%	\$93,922
TOTAL		4,969	100.0%	\$488,583,626	100.0%	\$98,326


Charts/Graphs



Federal Share Awarded per Submittal

Table 15

Legend	Fed Share Awarded	All Paid/Career	All volunteer	Combination	Paid On-Call/Stipend	Total
A	Less than \$50,000	157	1,108	382	133	1,780
B	Between \$50,000 and \$99,999	144	934	388	106	1,572
C	Between \$100,000 and \$299,999	133	920	338	99	1,490
D	Between \$300,000 and \$499,999	27	17	27	2	73
E	Between \$500,000 and \$749,999	5	7	12	2	26
F	Between \$750,000 and \$999,999	8	4	8	3	23
G	\$1,000,000 or more	1	2	2		5
TOTAL		475	2,992	1,157	345	4,969




Tables 16 and 17 and Figures 16.1 through 17.5 depict the awards distributed by applicant type and by Federal share amount.

Awards by Applicant Type

Table 16

Legend	Applicant Type	Total Awards	% Total	Total Federal Share Awarded	% Total	Average Federal Share Awarded
	Fire Department/Fire District	4,636	93.3%	\$438,362,979	89.7%	\$94,556
	Non-Affiliated EMS Organization	221	4.4%	\$9,349,342	1.9%	\$42,305
	Regional Request	112	2.3%	\$40,871,305	8.4%	\$364,922
TOTAL		4,969	100.0%	\$488,583,626	100.0%	\$98,326

Charts/Graphs



Federal Share Awarded per Submittal

Table 17

Legend	Fed Share Awarded	Fire Department/Fire District	Non-Affiliated EMS Organization	Regional Request	Total
A	Less than \$50,000	1,618	158	4	1,780
B	Between \$50,000 and \$99,999	1,509	48	15	1,572
C	Between \$100,000 and \$299,999	1,428	15	47	1,490
D	Between \$300,000 and \$499,999	55		18	73
E	Between \$500,000 and \$749,999	15		11	26
F	Between \$750,000 and \$999,999	10		13	23
G	\$1,000,000 or more	1		4	5
TOTAL		4,636	221	112	4,969




Table 18 depicts a comprehensive breakdown of AFG awards by geographic location and by Federal share amount.

Table 18

State	AWARDS		TOTAL FEDERAL SHARE		AVERAGE FEDERAL SHARE
	Awarded	%Total	Total Amount Awarded	%Total	Average Amount Awarded
Alabama	223	4.5%	\$22,020,210	4.5%	\$98,745
Alaska	7	0.1%	\$754,110	0.2%	\$107,730
Arizona	36	0.7%	\$3,820,935	0.8%	\$106,137
Arkansas	88	1.8%	\$7,592,096	1.6%	\$86,274
California	139	2.8%	\$17,671,801	3.6%	\$127,135
Colorado	36	0.7%	\$3,206,435	0.7%	\$89,068
Connecticut	52	1.0%	\$5,476,793	1.1%	\$105,323
Delaware	8	0.2%	\$1,107,097	0.2%	\$138,387
Florida	56	1.1%	\$6,767,159	1.4%	\$120,842
Georgia	74	1.5%	\$8,883,307	1.8%	\$120,045
Guam	1	0.0%	\$359,500	0.1%	\$359,500
Hawaii	1	0.0%	\$264,744	0.1%	\$264,744
Idaho	29	0.6%	\$2,654,415	0.5%	\$91,532
Illinois	233	4.7%	\$20,954,241	4.3%	\$89,932
Indiana	126	2.5%	\$14,374,382	2.9%	\$114,082
Iowa	130	2.6%	\$9,978,777	2.0%	\$76,760
Kansas	60	1.2%	\$4,970,149	1.0%	\$82,836
Kentucky	133	2.7%	\$13,314,258	2.7%	\$100,107
Louisiana	70	1.4%	\$6,897,712	1.4%	\$98,539
Maine	52	1.0%	\$6,611,158	1.4%	\$127,138
Maryland	74	1.5%	\$10,226,515	2.1%	\$138,196
Massachusetts	79	1.6%	\$8,905,319	1.8%	\$112,726
Michigan	169	3.4%	\$15,627,670	3.2%	\$92,471
Minnesota	164	3.3%	\$14,683,349	3.0%	\$89,533
Mississippi	86	1.7%	\$7,862,544	1.6%	\$91,425
Missouri	143	2.9%	\$13,159,088	2.7%	\$92,022
Montana	55	1.1%	\$5,817,990	1.2%	\$105,782
Nebraska	50	1.0%	\$4,393,725	0.9%	\$87,875
Nevada	6	0.1%	\$847,764	0.2%	\$141,294
New Hampshire	42	0.8%	\$3,305,477	0.7%	\$78,702
New Jersey	155	3.1%	\$12,350,281	2.5%	\$79,679
New Mexico	16	0.3%	\$1,461,554	0.3%	\$91,347
New York	362	7.3%	\$33,553,950	6.9%	\$92,690
North Carolina	184	3.7%	\$18,293,880	3.7%	\$99,423
North Dakota	23	0.5%	\$2,433,348	0.5%	\$105,798
Northern Marianas	1	0.0%	\$214,900	0.0%	\$214,900
Ohio	214	4.3%	\$25,188,429	5.2%	\$117,703
Oklahoma	120	2.4%	\$10,825,656	2.2%	\$90,214
Oregon	69	1.4%	\$9,133,240	1.9%	\$132,366
Pennsylvania	447	9.0%	\$41,165,096	8.4%	\$92,092
Puerto Rico	19	0.4%	\$528,116	0.1%	\$27,796
Rhode Island	16	0.3%	\$2,019,128	0.4%	\$126,196
South Carolina	91	1.8%	\$7,979,267	1.6%	\$87,684
South Dakota	35	0.7%	\$2,888,486	0.6%	\$82,528
Tennessee	131	2.6%	\$11,151,100	2.3%	\$85,123
Texas	172	3.5%	\$17,887,606	3.7%	\$103,998
Utah	24	0.5%	\$2,169,615	0.4%	\$90,401
Vermont	21	0.4%	\$1,459,040	0.3%	\$69,478
Virginia	79	1.6%	\$8,315,900	1.7%	\$105,265
Washington	126	2.5%	\$16,061,509	3.3%	\$127,472
West Virginia	62	1.2%	\$5,819,852	1.2%	\$93,869
Wisconsin	168	3.4%	\$13,998,900	2.9%	\$83,327
Wyoming	12	0.2%	\$1,176,053	0.2%	\$98,004
TOTAL	4,969	100.0%	\$488,583,626	100.0%	\$98,326